Autotransplantation of Immature Third Molars and Orthodontic Treatment After En Bloc Resection of Conventional Ameloblastoma
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Ameloblastoma treatment can lead to significant bone defects; consequently, oral rehabilitation can be challenging. We present the case of a 14-year-old girl diagnosed with a conventional ameloblastoma in the mandible who was treated using en bloc resection and rehabilitated with autotransplantation of the immature third molars and orthodontic treatment. The lesion was in the region of the lower left canine and premolars, and en bloc resection resulted in a significant alveolar bone defect. Autotransplantation of the lower third molars to the site of the lower left premolars was performed. After 2 years, the upper left third molar was transplanted to the site of the lower left canine. During the orthodontic treatment period, considerable alveolar bone formation was observed in the region of the transplanted teeth, and roots developed. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of alveolar bone formation induction caused by tooth transplantation after ameloblastoma treatment.
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are usually described as “soap bubbles.” Radicular resorption is not an unusual finding, and nonerupted teeth can be involved in the lesion.\textsuperscript{9,10} Pain, swelling, malocclusion, and paresthesia are more frequently associated with larger lesions.\textsuperscript{9}

Evidence from microscopy studies showed that the tumor cells of conventional ameloblastomas usually infiltrate the trabecular spaces beyond the radiographic extent of the tumor\textsuperscript{11}; therefore, radical surgery is the treatment of choice for this variant. Large mandibular defects with or without significant facial disfiguration can result and occasionally require multiple surgeries and long-term treatment. Conventional ameloblastoma, therefore, represents a challenge in the field of oral rehabilitation.

We present the case of a 14-year-old girl who was diagnosed with a conventional ameloblastoma in the mandible. The patient was treated using en bloc resection and was rehabilitated with autotransplantation of 3 teeth with incomplete root formation and orthodontic treatment.

**Case Report**

A 14-year-old girl was referred to the oral and maxillofacial surgery department of the Hospital Batista Memorial because of an asymptomatic lesion in the mandible that was incidentally discovered on a panoramic radiograph taken for orthodontic treatment. Her medical history was not significant. The physical examination findings were unremarkable, and facial deformity was not observed. A physical intraoral examination revealed an asymptomatic and unobtrusive bone expansion near the lower left canine and premolars. A radiographic examination showed a well-defined multilocular radiolucent area with a “soap bubble” appearance (Fig 1). With the patient under local anesthesia, an incisional biopsy of the lesion was performed without complications. The histopathologic examination revealed a benign odontogenic lesion characterized by the presence of epithelial nests presenting with peripheral columnar cells with reversed polarity and hyperchromatic nuclei. The central cells were loosely arranged to resemble the stellate reticulum and occasionally presented with cysts. The stroma was composed of mature connective tissue. The final diagnosis was conventional ameloblastoma.

In September 2008, under general anesthesia, the patient underwent marginal en bloc resection of the lesion extending from the lower left canine to the lower left first molar. The postoperative period was uneventful; however, a substantial mandibular bone defect was created (Fig 2). In April 2009, oral rehabilitation was raised as a possibility, with autotransplantation of the lower third molar to the bone defect site.

Because of the lack of root development, the autotransplantation was not performed until March 2010. The lower third molars were transplanted to the first molar and second premolar regions, respectively.
With the patient under local anesthesia, mucoperiosteal flaps were raised in the mandibular defect and third molar areas. The donor inferior third molars were carefully extracted using elevators (keeping the radicular part intact) and transplanted to the first molar and second premolar areas without extraoral storage. Because of the bone defects at the recipient site, no additional bone was removed to create a socket; instead, only a horizontal crestal incision was made, and the teeth were placed into the soft tissue. The transplanted teeth were splinted to the lower second molar with acid-etch composite resin. Inferior third molars showed approximately one third of the total root development; these teeth were left in infraocclusion. A liquid diet was recommended for 30 days. The postoperative period was uneventful; gingiva formed and attached, and the splint was removed approximately 60 days after transplantation. In September 2010, 6 months after transplantation and after visualization of initial bone formation, the transplanted teeth were released for orthodontic movement. After very slow orthodontic movement, both transplanted teeth were in occlusion (January 2011; Fig 3).

In April 2012, the upper left third molar was transplanted to the site of the lower left canine. The surgical technique and method of postoperative care were the same as those used with the first autotransplantation.
The upper left third molar was selected because of the more appropriate mesiodistal length, which adapted better to the receptor site. In December 2012, the transplanted tooth was released for orthodontic treatment with very slow movement (Fig 4).

During orthodontic treatment, considerable alveolar bone formation was observed in the region of the transplanted teeth (Fig 5). Root development occurred in all the transplanted teeth; however, the root growth of the tooth transplanted into the canine region was decreased compared with that of the other transplanted teeth. All the transplanted teeth were in masticatory function with no discomfort, mobility, or pathologic conditions. The gingival contour, color, and depth of the pocket were all normal. Also, all the transplanted teeth responded to thermal pulp testing, although partial pulp obliteration was present. In July 2014, the orthodontic treatment was completed.

Discussion

Conventional ameloblastoma has a high potential for recurrence after curettage, and the radiographic margin of the lesion will not correspond to the histologic margin. The tumor is usually found 2 to 8 mm (mean 4.5) beyond the radiographic margin; thus, radical surgery with a bone margin of 1 to 1.5 cm is recommended. When doubt exists regarding the bone margins, a radiograph of the resected surgical specimen or the use of a frozen section biopsy can assist the surgeon. The jaw is important, not only from a functional viewpoint regarding dentition and occlusion, but also for facial aesthetic reasons. The face has a central importance in daily interactions. Thus, whenever possible, en bloc resection with preservation of the base of the mandible (which could assist in future oral rehabilitation) should be planned for mandibular odontogenic tumors.

Several methods can be used in oral rehabilitation, including prosthodontics or dental implants, which can be preceded by bone grafts or osteogenesis distraction. However, patients are not always candidates for these procedures because of age-related or financial reasons. In such cases, autotransplantation could be an option.

Most investigators have stated that a tooth transplantation candidate should have one-half to three-fourths root formation to facilitate tooth stabilization for periodontal healing and open the apex for pulp revascularization. However, success can be achieved in cases of one-third or complete root formation.

To avoid extending cell damage to the root surface, the tooth should be removed gently from the donor.
site without extensive osteotomies or severe dislocations. Minimizing the duration that a donor tooth is out of the mouth and the duration of root manipulation during autotransplantation are keys for success; however, in some cases, dentoalveolar ankylosis, root resorption, or even compromised root growth can occur. In the present patient, all the transplanted teeth had approximately one-third root formation, and the autotransplantations were considered successful because undesirable complications were not observed, the roots developed, and the teeth were in occlusion and functional. Damage to the periodontal ligament, root resorption, and pulp necrosis are more likely to occur in teeth with complete root formation than in teeth transplanted during root development.

The minimal apical foramen diameter needed to obtain vital pulp in an autotransplanted tooth is 1 mm. In cases with a smaller diameter, pulp revascularization will be unpredictable, although an animal study demonstrated that in 10 teeth with apical diameters varying from 0.24 to 0.53 mm, 50% presented with vital tissue in more than two-thirds of the pulp chamber. In the present patient, all the transplanted teeth were in the root development period; thus, the roots had an open apex with a good chance of apical revascularization and pulp vitality. Endodontic treatment is not usually necessary in cases of an open apex unless clinical or radiologic symptoms of periapical inflammation or root resorption occur.

Tooth transplantation can be performed at a fresh extraction site; however, when complete postextraction regeneration of the alveolar socket has occurred, a recipient site can be created with burs. Some investigators have demonstrated similar results for transplantations into both prepared and fresh sockets. In atrophied jaw sections, free bone grafts and a splitting ostectomy of the alveolar process can be performed during tooth transplantation; however, these procedures have had a lower success rate. In the

FIGURE 3 (cont’d). B, Radiograph taken after 60 days. C, Radiograph taken after 6 months. D, Radiograph taken 1 year after transplantation showing considerable alveolar bone formation.

present patient, significant loss of the alveolar process had occurred, and burs were not used to create a socket. Instead, the teeth were placed into the soft tissue and splinted to the distal tooth with acid-etch composite resin.

To our knowledge, this is the first case of alveolar bone regeneration in mandibular bone defects after ameloblastoma resection and tooth autotransplantation without bone grafting. Considerable alveolar bone formation was observed after transplantation. A previous study showed that tooth transplantation can induce bone formation. Additionally, a recent in vivo study demonstrated that Hertwig’s epithelial root sheath cells can stimulate osteogenic differentiation of dental follicle cells by way of cell to cell communication and could play a role in alveolar bone formation. Tooth autotransplantation after ameloblastoma treatment was described by Lim and Chun in an 18-year-old woman who presented with a unicystic ameloblastoma. The patient was treated using enucleation and immediate bone grafting, followed by autotransplantation and orthodontic treatment.

In addition to its predictability, tooth autotransplantation can offer a good aesthetic result, especially in the germ phase. Tooth autotransplantation can also induce alveolar bone growth and re-establish the alveolar process. The risk of ankylosis after transplantation of an unerupted tooth germ is reduced, because the dental follicle protects the root surface from injury. Additionally, transplantation of unerupted teeth has been associated with a high likelihood of pulp revascularization, generation of normal periodontal tissue, and root growth. It has previously been shown that apical pulp-derived cells have the potential to induce hard tissue formation, suggesting that a tooth with an immature apex is an effective source of cells for hard tissue/periodontal complex regeneration and some clinical results have supported this finding. Bone defects secondary to trauma or resulting from surgical resection could benefit from the autotransplantation of immature teeth, even during maxillomandibular development.

Several techniques can be used for the rehabilitation of mandibular defects. The use of dental implants or fixed prosthodontics during maxillomandibular development could interfere with bone growth, because osteointegrated implants will not grow with the patient’s changing jaw, resulting in an infraocclusion. Osteogenic distraction could be used, although it has usually been associated with greater morbidity than that with tooth transplantation. Additionally, it requires longer follow-up periods and greater patient cooperation, and a risk exists of deviation of the distraction vector. Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein 2 could be another option; however, this method is...
FIGURE 4 (cont’d). B, Radiographs taken after 7 days. C, Radiographs taken at 15 months after transplantation showing alveolar bone formation in the canine region.


FIGURE 5. A, B, Clinical intraoral views showing all transplanted teeth in occlusion. (Fig 5 continued on next page.)

more expensive, and after bone formation, the use of
dental implants or fixed prosthodontics would
be necessary.
Accordingly to Kumar et al., tooth transplantation
can be considered successful if the tooth is fixed in
its socket without residual inflammation, masticatory
function is satisfactory with no discomfort, the tooth
is not mobile, no pathologic conditions are apparent
from radiographic examinations, the lamina dura is
radiographically normal, the tooth shows radiographic
evidence of root growth and pulpal regeneration, and
the depth of the pocket, gingival contour, and gingival
color are all normal. In the present patient, these
criteria for successful autotransplantation were ful-
filled, with the exception of partial root growth
in first premolar, and all transplanted teeth responded
to thermal pulp testing, although partial pulp obliteration
was present. Even if this tooth were to be lost in
the future, the bone gain that occurred in the region
would facilitate rehabilitation at the site.
In conclusion, tooth autotransplantation is well
suited to growing individuals. In the case of failure,
dental implants can be inserted in patients before
the completion of pubertal growth. Long-term
follow-up should be emphasized in the present patient
owing to the possibility of ameloblastoma recurrence
and root resorption of the transplanted teeth.
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