Antiretroviral changes during the first year of therapy
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SUMMARY

Introduction: The Brazilian HIV/AIDS management and treatment guideline (PCDT), published in 2013, recommends and standardizes the use of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in all adult patients, in spite of LTCD₄ count. This study aimed to analyze the first year of HAART use in patients from a reference center on HIV/AIDS management in Fortaleza, Ceará.

Method: This descriptive study reviewed all prescription forms of antiretroviral regimens initiation and changes from January to July 2014. All antiretroviral regimen changes that occurred during the first year of therapy were evaluated. Data were analyzed with SPSS version 20. Mean, standard deviation and frequency, Student’s t and Mann-Whitney tests calculations were used, with significance at p<0.05.

Results: From 527 patients initiating HAART, 16.5% (n=87) had a regimen change in the first year. These patients were mostly male (59.8%; n=52), aged 20 to 39 years, with only one HAART change (72.4%; n=63). Efavirenz was the most often changed drug, followed by tenofovir, zidovudine and lopinavir/ritonavir. Mean time of HAART changes was 120 days, with adverse reactions as the most prevalent cause. HAART was effective in decreasing viral load since second month of treatment (p=0.003) and increasing LTCD₄ lymphocytes since fifth month (p<0.001).

Conclusion: The main cause of initial HAART changes was adverse reaction and most patients had only one change in the HAART regimen. HAART prescription was in accordance to the PCDT from 2013.

Keywords: acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, highly active antiretroviral therapy, human immunodeficiency virus.

INTRODUCTION

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection spreads to lymphoid tissues and follows initial course with high viremia and immune response, followed by seroconversion and, with replication and elevation in viral load (VL), CD₄⁺ T lymphocytes (LTCD₄) are destroyed.¹ After a few years, the symptomatic phase of the disease is established, with immunodeficiency and the appearance of coinfections.²³

The Brazilian Ministry of Health recommends 19 drugs for HIV treatment. These drugs are divided into classes, according to their mechanisms of action, namely: nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), non-nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), protease inhibitors (PIs), fusion inhibitors, integrase inhibitors and entry inhibitors (CCR5 co-receptor antagonists).⁴⁵⁸

The introduction of the highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) led to decreases in VL and increases in LTCD₄, thus reducing hospitalizations and HIV transmission. Laboratory tests for LTCD₄ and VL counts should be done during the use and change of HAART to verify the immuno-viral effectiveness of the treatment.⁶⁷

The Brazilian HIV/AIDS management and treatment guideline (PCDT) recommends introduction of HAART in any LTCD₄ count, followed by first-line regimens with combinations of two NRTIs associated with a NNRTI and second-line combinations with two NRTIs plus ritonavir-
-boosted PI (PI/r), in cases of viral resistance, intolerance or toxicity with efavirenz (EFZ) or nevirapine (NVP). If VL remains detectable after six months of initiation or modification of HAART, virological failure may occur, with risk of disease progression, accumulation of antiretroviral (ARV) drug resistance mutations, and less robust and durable elevation of LTCD\(_4\) count, i.e., therapeutic failure.\(^{5,9}\)

In clinical practice, antiretroviral regimens may be changed due to therapeutic failure but also on account of adhesion difficulties, complexity of HAART, and other pharmacological factors (adverse reactions, drug interactions and toxicity).\(^{1,9}\)

At the São José Hospital for Infectious Diseases (HSJ-CE), approximately 3,944 PLWHA are assisted for treatment with HAART according to PCDT recommendations of 2013. Due to the increasing number of PLWHA using HAART, treatment monitoring for the rational adherence of patients to therapy has become a priority, with improved clinical parameters and less risk of failure, hospitalization, costs, morbidity and mortality, longer survival and positive prevention with the adoption of healthy lifestyle habits.\(^{8,10}\)

In this context, we aimed to describe the profile of HIV+ patients seen at a reference center in Fortaleza/Ceará, who had their initial antiretroviral regimen modified in the first year of treatment, and the factors involved in the modifications of HAART during this period.

**Method**

This exploratory, descriptive and retrospective study was performed at the HSJ Pharmacy Center (CENFAR). Application forms for treatment initiation and modification of all outpatients who started HAART between January and July 2014 and who changed therapies during the first year of treatment were analyzed sequentially. These patients were followed for a period of one year after initiation of HAART.\(^{1}\)

Patients using HAART for prophylaxis, followed in the private health network, in transit from other Brazilian states, pregnant women and children (under 18 years of age) were excluded from the study.

Data were collected from the Medication Logistics Control System (SICLOM), specific forms to justify treatment switch and patient records.

Data regarding patient identification, symptoms, drugs used, LTCD\(_4\) counts and VL, reason for the request to change the therapy, and the new requested scheme were amassed.

The analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 20.

Statistical analysis included calculations of means, standard deviation and frequencies. The evolution of numerical variables was analyzed by Student’s t-test for those with a normal distribution. For the others, Mann-Whitney test was used. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.\(^{11}\)

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of HSJ, with Opinion No. 1,142,439 (Original Project).

**Results**

After we screened 527 patients who started HAART between January and July 2014, 120 were excluded because they were under medical supervision in the private health network, 11 children, three pregnant women and 306 patients who remained with initial HAART during the first year of treatment. The remaining 87 patients comprised our sample, being the N of the study.

Of these 87 patients, 59.8% (n=52) were male. The predominant age group was 20-39 years (57.5%), followed by 33.3% of patients aged 40-59 years, and 6.9% over 60 years, most of them from the capital of the state of Ceará (59.8%).

Coinfections were reported by 89% (n=77) of the patients, with one coinfection described in 17% (n=15), two coinfections in 31% (n=27), three coinfections in 20%, and more than three coinfections in 21% (n=18). The most frequent coinfections were cytomegalovirus (25%), toxoplasmosis (21%), syphilis (12%), tuberculosis (11%), herpes simplex (6%), histoplasmosis (6%), candidiasis (5%) and pneumocystis (5%). AIDS was diagnosed in 64.4% of the patients (n=56).

The LTCD\(_4\) count and VL profile over the course of the treatment is shown in Chart 1. The increase in LTCD\(_4\) counts was significant from 5 to 8 months of treatment (p<0.001). This increase was significant both in patients who had LTCD\(_4\) > 500 cells/dL and in those with > 200 cells/dL at the beginning of treatment. The decrease in VL, in turn, was significant earlier, with 2 to 4 months of HAART (p=0.003).

Initial HAART with two NRTIs combined with one NNRTI was observed in 77% (n=67) of patients, especially the combination of tenofovir (TDF) + lamivudine (3TC) + EFZ, present in 46% (n=40) of the forms. Another widely used regimen was the association zidovudine (AZT) + 3TC + EFZ, present in the forms of 24% (n=21) of the patients.

Initial regimens presenting two NRTIs associated with one PI/r were observed in the forms of 20% (n=17) of the patients, with the following associations predominating: TDF + 3TC with lopinavir (LPV/r), used by 8% (n=7) of patients; and AZT + 3TC + LPV/r, used by 7% (n=6). Analyzing each drug individually, we observed that the most used NRTI was 3TC, present in 100% (n=87) of the regimens.
**CHART 1** Time curves for mean LTCD$_4$ counts and viral load during antiretroviral therapy.

*Student’s t-test*  
*p<0.001*

*Mann-Whitney Test*  
* *p<0.001*  
** *p=0.003*

*p-value or level of significance equal to 0.05, p=0.05.*

*Source: Medication Logistics Control System (SICLOM) and medical records of the São José Hospital outpatient clinic.*
followed by TDF, in 62% (n=54). LPV/r was the most used PI/r, present in 17% (n=15), followed by atazanavir (ATV)/r, found in 5% (n=4). In the NNRTI category, EFZ was the drug of choice, being present in 71% (n=62) of the regimens, followed by NVP in 6% (n=5) (Table 1).

Of the 87 patients, 72.4% (n=63) underwent one treatment switch, 21.8% (n=19) two switches, 3.4% (n=3) three switches, and 2.3% (n=2) four switches. In 79% (n=69) of the treatment switches only one drug was changed, whereas in 15% (n=13) two drugs were changed. Three drugs were switched in 3% (n=3) of the patients, and in 2% (n=2) there was a request to add a fourth drug, ATV/r or raltegravir (RAL).

Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) were the main reasons for switching drugs of the initial HAART and were reported as a justification in 70.5% (n=74) of the changes. Therapeutic failure was the reason for drug switching in 11 patients (12.6%) (Table 2).

Of the 54 patients that started HAART with TDF (62.06%, 54/87), 40.7% (n=22) switched medications, 68.2% (n=15) due to kidney dysfunction or nephrotoxicity. Of the 62 patients who used initial EFZ (71.26%, 62/87), 67.74% (n=42) switched the drug, 35.7% (n=15) for psychological reactions and 26.2% (n=11) due to hypersensitivity reactions. LPV/r was associated with drug switching in 47% (n=7) of 15 initial regimens in which it was present, mainly due to gastrointestinal reactions. Table 2 shows the motives for switching drugs and the drugs replaced in the initial schemes.

The only drug that was not changed in the initial HAART was 3TC. Among NRTIs, TDF was replaced 22 times in 100% of patients, EFZ in 27% (n=29), and AZT in 37% (n=46). Among PIs, ATV/r was replaced in 6% (n=7), LPV/r in 17% (n=24), and NVP in 6% (n=13). 3TC was the only drug that was not replaced in the initial HAART. Table 1 shows the profile of frequency of use of drugs in initial and modified antiretroviral therapy.

### TABLE 1 Profile of frequency of use of drugs in initial and modified antiretroviral therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARV drugs used</th>
<th>Percentage among patients</th>
<th>ARV drugs used</th>
<th>Percentage among patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3TC</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFZ</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZT</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV/r</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2 Association between the reasons for switching and drugs switched in the initial schemes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for switching</th>
<th>LPV/r n (%)</th>
<th>AZT n (%)</th>
<th>EFZ n (%)</th>
<th>TDF n (%)</th>
<th>NVP n (%)</th>
<th>ATV/r n (%)</th>
<th>ABC n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal reactions</td>
<td>6 (35)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td>4 (24)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological reactions</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitivity</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>11 (73)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney dysfunction</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelotoxicity</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver dysfunction</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
<td>1 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug interaction</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose optimization</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>2 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotyping/Rescue</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>5 (45)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Medication Logistics Control System (SICLOM), HAART switch request forms and medical records of the São José Hospital outpatient clinic.
As for the NNRTIs, EFZ was replaced 42 times, 40% (n=17) by TDF + 3TC + ATV/r. The initial regimens had an average duration of 100.6 days (±93.4), ranging between 1 and 330 days of treatment. Schemes with 2 NRTI + 1 NNRTI had an average duration of 102 days (±97.6), being mainly represented by the TDF + 3TC + EFZ scheme. Combinations with 2 NRTI + 1 PI/r lasted shorter, with a mean duration of 94 days (±75.7), being more often represented by TDF + 3TC + LPV/r and TDF + 3TC + ATV/r.

**Discussion**

In our study, the prevalence of male patients was evident, which seems to be in agreement with data in the literature. In recent years, there has been an increase in the number of men with HIV. As of 2009, there was a decline in the number of AIDS cases in women and an increase in men, yielding a sex ratio that in 2014 was 19 cases of AIDS in men for every ten cases in women according to the Epidemiological Bulletin on HIV/AIDS Surveillance (2015). Studies in Spain, Italy, the United States and India also point to an increasing prevalence of HIV infection among men.

AIDS was diagnosed in 64.4% of the patients, which can be explained by problems of adherence to HAART and/or late treatment start, according with LTCD\textsubscript{4} count and VL profile, which makes immune reconstitution and viral suppression more difficult with onset of resistance, directly reflecting the appearance of AIDS co-infections and symptoms in 15 to 61% of patients.

In the 87 patients studied, LTCD\textsubscript{4} increase was significant between the fifth and eighth month of treatment. In most individuals, the onset of HAART is accompanied by higher LTCD\textsubscript{4} counts and immune recovery. Usually, this occurs in the first year of treatment. Then, stability is observed, followed by improvement in the second year. However, in spite of a significant increase in LTCD\textsubscript{4} counts in our sample, even in patients who initiated HAART with levels lower than 200 cells/dL, in some patients this increase was not enough to reverse the state of severe immunosuppression. This finding may signal adhesion problems or partial immunological reconstitution in patients with low initial LTCD\textsubscript{4} counts. This situation occurs due to late onset of HAART in immunocompromised patients, so that initially low levels of LTCD\textsubscript{4} are important predictors of the suboptimal recovery response of LTCD\textsubscript{4}.

Effectiveness of HAART on the decrease in VL from the start of treatment (2 to 4 months) was evidenced, with the majority of patients reaching undetectable levels between the fifth and eighth month. Patients who started treatment with VL greater than 1,000 copies/mL had partial viral suppression, since they did not reach undetectable VL six months after starting treatment. However, this does not mean virologic failure, since most HAART changes in these patients occurred before the first six months of treatment. Studies show that about 80% of patients achieve plasma VLs of less than 50 copies/mL after one year of treatment and that viral suppression is maintained over time, whereas virological failure may be characterized with VL counts higher than 50 copies/mL after six months of treatment without interruptions or changes.

Most of the initial regimens used in this population consisted of 2 NRTI + 1 NNRTI, followed by 2 NRTI + 1 PI/r, with TDF + 3TC + EFZ and TDF + 3TC + LPV/r as the predominant associations in each case, respectively. These findings are in agreement with the 2013 PCDT recommendations.

In most of the initial HAART switches studied, only one drug was replaced in the scheme. Studies indicate that changes within six months usually occur because of intolerance or toxicity. The fact that most of the treatment switches in the present study involved only one drug can be explained by the occurrence of ADR to a specific drug in the scheme in most of the cases (70%).

Among the ADRs presented, gastrointestinal reactions were more often associated with LPV/r, while psychological reactions and hypersensitivity were associated with EFZ, renal alteration with TDF, myelotoxicity with AZT, and hepatic alteration with NVP. These data are in agreement with results obtained by several authors, which show similar correlations between the antiretroviral drugs and their main clinical and laboratory alterations.

Other studies also reveal that changes in HAART after six months may also occur after confirmation of immuno-virological failure and low adherence. In our population, therapeutic failure, although not the most prevalent cause for HAART replacement, was the reason for switching drugs in 12.6% of the cases that used initial TDF + 3TC + EFZ and AZT + 3TC + EFZ regimens. Other authors showed that TDF + 3TC + EFZ schemes resulted in viral suppression in 92% of patients and virological failure in 8 and 10.8% of patients. Initial regimens with emtricitabine (FTC) + TDF + EFZ had a 3.6% failure. In one study, virological failure combined with viral resistance occurred in 24.1% of patients with interruption and resumption of treatment using stavudine (d4T) + 3TC + NVP, d4T + 3TC + EFZ and AZT + 3TC + NVP regimens. Other studies showed that d4T regimens had virological failure in 16.9%, motivated by predictors such as treatment interruptions, use of NVP, initial LTCD\textsubscript{4}}
Antiretroviral Changes during the first year of therapy

AIDS, The epidemiological profile of patients undergoing changes in initial HAART revealed the prevalence of men in the age group between 20 and 39 years. The use of HAART led to an immuno-virological response with a significant increase in the mean LTCD count and a significant reduction in the mean VL, the former having a later effect when compared to the latter.

The main schemes used to initiate therapy were composed of 2 NRTI + 1 NNRTI. EFZ was most often used in early therapies compared to LPV/r and ATV/r; however, it was also the most often switched drug.

ADRs were the most frequent cause of HAART replacement, most of the times requiring the replacement of only one of the drugs in the initial regimen.

Resumo

Mudanças de terapia antirretroviral durante o primeiro ano de tratamento

Introdução: O Protocolo Clínico e Diretrizes Terapêuticas para manejo da infecção pelo HIV em adultos (PCDT) de 2013 recomenda e normatiza início de terapia antirretroviral (TARV) em pacientes com qualquer contagem de LTCD. O objetivo do estudo foi analisar o primeiro ano de TARV de pacientes em acompanhamento em um centro de referência em HIV/AIDS de Fortaleza, Ceará.

Método: O estudo descriptivo revisou formulários de solicitação de início e modificação de TARV em pacientes que iniciaram tratamento entre janeiro e julho de 2014. Foram avaliadas todas as mudanças que ocorreram durante o primeiro ano de terapia. Os dados foram analisados nos programa Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) versão 20. Foram calculados médias, desvios padrão, frequências, testes t Student e Mann-Whitney, com significância de p<0,05.

Resultados: Dos 527 pacientes que iniciaram TARV, 16,5% (n=87) realizaram troca no primeiro ano. A maioria era do sexo masculino (59,8%; n=52), de 20 a 39 anos, com apenas uma mudança da TARV (72,4%; n=63). Efavirenz foi o fármaco mais substituído, seguido por tenofovir, zidovudina e lopinavir/ritonavir. O tempo médio de ocorrência das modificações da TARV foi de 120 dias, tendo reações adversas como causas principais. TARV foi efetiva na queda da carga viral desde o 2º mês de tratamento (p=0,003) e na elevação de LTCD desde o 5º mês (p<0,001).

Conclusão: Os principais fatores envolvidos em modificações de TARV inicial foram reações adversas, com apenas uma mudança de esquema na maioria dos pacientes. O manejo da TARV estava de acordo com o PCDT de 2013.

Palavras-chave: síndrome da imunodeficiência adquirida, terapia antirretroviral de alta atividade, vírus da imunodeficiência humana.
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