

## Synergistic Effect of the Flavonoid Catechin, Quercetin, or Epigallocatechin Gallate with Fluconazole Induces Apoptosis in *Candida tropicalis* Resistant to Fluconazole

Cecília Rocha da Silva, João Batista de Andrade Neto, Rosana de Sousa Campos, Narjara Silvestre Figueiredo, Letícia Serpa Sampaio, Hemerson Iury Ferreira Magalhães, Bruno Coêlho Cavalcanti, Danielle Macêdo Gaspar, Geanne Matos de Andrade, Iri Sandro Pampolha Lima, Glauce Socorro de Barros Viana, Manoel Odorico de Moraes, Marina Duarte Pinto Lobo, Thalles Barbosa Grangeiro and Hélio Vitoriano Nobre Júnior  
*Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2014, 58(3):1468. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.00651-13.  
Published Ahead of Print 23 December 2013.

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://aac.asm.org/content/58/3/1468>

---

*These include:*

**REFERENCES**

This article cites 57 articles, 10 of which can be accessed free at: <http://aac.asm.org/content/58/3/1468#ref-list-1>

**CONTENT ALERTS**

Receive: RSS Feeds, eTOCs, free email alerts (when new articles cite this article), [more»](#)

---

Information about commercial reprint orders: <http://journals.asm.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>  
To subscribe to to another ASM Journal go to: <http://journals.asm.org/site/subscriptions/>

# Synergistic Effect of the Flavonoid Catechin, Quercetin, or Epigallocatechin Gallate with Fluconazole Induces Apoptosis in *Candida tropicalis* Resistant to Fluconazole

Cecília Rocha da Silva,<sup>a,b</sup> João Batista de Andrade Neto,<sup>a</sup> Rosana de Sousa Campos,<sup>a,b</sup> Narjara Silvestre Figueiredo,<sup>a</sup> Leticia Serpa Sampaio,<sup>a</sup> Hemerson Iury Ferreira Magalhães,<sup>a,c,e</sup> Bruno Coêlho Cavalcanti,<sup>c</sup> Danielle Macêdo Gaspar,<sup>c</sup> Geanne Matos de Andrade,<sup>c</sup> Iri Sandro Pampolha Lima,<sup>f</sup> Glauce Socorro de Barros Viana,<sup>c</sup> Manoel Odorico de Moraes,<sup>c</sup> Marina Duarte Pinto Lobo,<sup>d</sup> Thalles Barbosa Grangeiro,<sup>d</sup> Hélio Vitoriano Nobre Júnior<sup>a,b,c</sup>

Department of Clinical and Toxicological Analysis, School of Pharmacy, Laboratory of Bioprospection and Experiments in Yeast (LABEL), Federal University of Ceara, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil<sup>a</sup>; Department of Pathology and Legal Medicine, School of Medicine, Federal University of Ceara, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil<sup>b</sup>; Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Federal University of Ceara, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil<sup>c</sup>; Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Department of Biology, Center of Sciences, Federal University of Ceara, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil<sup>d</sup>; Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil<sup>e</sup>; Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, Federal University of Ceara, Barbalha, CE, Brazil<sup>f</sup>

Flavonoids are a class of phenolic compounds commonly found in fruits, vegetables, grains, flowers, tea, and wine. They differ in their chemical structures and characteristics. Such compounds show various biological functions and have antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antiapoptotic properties. The aim of this study was to evaluate the *in vitro* interactions of flavonoids with fluconazole against *Candida tropicalis* strains resistant to fluconazole, investigating the mechanism of synergism. Three combinations formed by the flavonoids (+)-catechin hydrated, hydrated quercetin, and (–)-epigallocatechin gallate at a fixed concentration with fluconazole were tested. Flavonoids alone had no antifungal activity within the concentration range tested, but when they were used as a cotreatment with fluconazole, there was significant synergistic activity. From this result, we set out to evaluate the possible mechanisms of cell death involved in this synergism. Isolated flavonoids did not induce morphological changes or changes in membrane integrity in the strains tested, but when they were used as a cotreatment with fluconazole, these changes were quite significant. When evaluating mitochondrial damage and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) only in the cotreatment, changes were observed. Flavonoids combined with fluconazole were shown to cause a significant increase in the rate of damage and the frequency of DNA damage in the tested strains. The cotreatment also induced an increase in the externalization of phosphatidylserine, an important marker of early apoptosis. It is concluded that flavonoids, when combined with fluconazole, show activity against strains of *C. tropicalis* resistant to fluconazole, promoting apoptosis by exposure of phosphatidylserine in the plasma membrane and morphological changes, mitochondrial depolarization, intracellular accumulation of ROS, condensation, and DNA fragmentation.

Yeasts are the most common opportunistic agents in fungal infections of immunocompromised patients, and new fungal pathogens have emerged over the last decade (1, 2). Throughout the last 20 years, the most commonly isolated yeasts from systemic fungal infections have been species of *Candida* (3). The National Network of Health Security reports that *Candida* spp. are the third most common cause of bloodstream infections associated with intensive care units in the United States (4).

Among invasive fungal infections, *Candida tropicalis* has been reported in the literature to be a major non-*albicans* *Candida* species causing fungemia in patients with malignancies (5, 6). In Brazil, *C. tropicalis* is a common agent in hospitals (5). A survey performed in hospitals from northeast Brazil showed that, among cultures positive for *Candida*, *C. tropicalis* was the second most commonly isolated species. This scenario can be explained by the higher level of resistance of non-*albicans* *Candida* species (*C. tropicalis*, *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. krusei*) to certain antifungal drugs in comparison to that of *C. albicans* (7–9).

The emergence of antimicrobial resistance and the limited efficacy of current antifungal agents have motivated the exploration for new drugs with relatively low toxicity that can reduce the chances of developing resistance (10–12).

Natural resources, such as plants, microorganisms, and inver-

tebrates, provide sources for the discovery of potential bioactive molecules (12, 13). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), more than 80% of people use traditional medicines, mostly derived from plants and their by-products, to treat infectious diseases (12, 14).

Natural products from plants have recently attracted scientific interest for their antifungal properties (15, 16). Research in this field may lead to the development of drugs effective against pathogenic fungi (17, 18). Flavonoids (FLAV) are a group of natural substances with various phenolic structures garnering considerable scientific and therapeutic interest (Fig. 1). These compounds are widely distributed in nature and have diverse biological activities, such as antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and

Received 1 April 2013 Returned for modification 21 May 2013

Accepted 11 December 2013

Published ahead of print 23 December 2013

Address correspondence to Hélio Vitoriano Nobre Júnior, label\_ufc@yahoo.com.br.

Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

doi:10.1128/AAC.00651-13




FIG 1 Chemical structures of the flavonoids (–)-epigallocatechin gallate (a), (+)-catechin hydrated (b), and hydrated quercetin (c).

antiapoptotic properties, which have been observed for (+)-catechin hydrate (CATEQ), quercetin hydrate (QUERC), and (–)-epigallocatechin gallate (EPIG) (19–21).

The aim of the current study was to evaluate and compare the synergistic effects of catechin, quercetin, and epigallocatechin gallate with fluconazole in fluconazole-resistant strains of *C. tropicalis* via broth microdilution susceptibility tests, flow cytometry assays, and single-cell gel electrophoresis (alkaline comet assay) to investigate whether the synergism promotes yeast death through apoptosis.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Isolates.** We used six fluconazole-resistant strains of *C. tropicalis* (22). The strains were inoculated onto Sabouraud dextrose agar (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) and incubated at 35°C for 24 h. They were then sown onto CHROMagar Candida (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) to assess their purity.

**Antifungal susceptibility testing and drug interaction evaluation.** Broth microdilution (BMD) susceptibility testing was performed according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) document M27-A3 (23) using RPMI broth (pH 7.0) buffered with 0.165 M morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS; Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO) (23). Fluconazole (Merck Sharp & Dohme, São Paulo, Brazil) was dissolved with distilled water, and solutions of CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (Sigma Chemicals) were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma Chemi-

cals). All solutions were stored at –20°C until further use. Fluconazole was tested over the concentration range of 0.125 to 64 µg/ml, and the flavonoids CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG were tested over the concentration range of 0.25 to 128 µg/ml. The 96-well culture plates were incubated at 35°C for 24 h, and the results were read visually, as recommended by the CLSI (2012) (24). The MIC was the concentration that inhibited 50% of fungal growth. The *in vitro* drug interactions were evaluated according to the MIC, and the strains were classified as susceptible (S), susceptible dose dependent (SDD), or resistant (R). The cutoff points for *C. tropicalis* susceptibility to fluconazole were as follows: S, MIC ≤ 2 µg/ml; SDD, MIC = 4 µg/ml; and R, MIC ≥ 8 µg/ml (24).

After determining the MIC<sub>50</sub> of each drug by itself, the checkerboard technique was applied (22, 24, 25). Thus, the strains were exposed to various concentrations (0.125 to 64 µg/ml) of fluconazole in combination with flavonoids (0.25 to 128 µg/ml). The results were read visually, and the MIC<sub>50</sub> values were determined at 24 h. Synergistic interactions were assessed by calculating the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI); FICI = ([FLC]/[CFS]) + ([FLAC]/[CFLaS]), where [FLC] and [FLAC] are the concentrations of fluconazole and flavonoids that showed activity when combined, respectively, and [CFS] and [CFLaS] are the concentrations of these drugs with activities in isolation, respectively. This interpretation was performed according to the FICI value, where a FICI value of <0.5 indicates synergism (SYN), 0.5 < FICI ≤ 4.0 indicates indifference (IND), and a FICI value of >4.0 indicates antagonism (ANT). The strains *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019 and *C. krusei* ATCC 6258 were used as controls (22, 24).

**Cell treatments.** For flow cytometry and comet assay experiments, single strains of fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis* were exposed to increasing concentrations (0.25 to 128  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) of the tested flavonoids, each combined with various concentrations (0.125 to 64  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) of fluconazole, for 24 h. Also, fluconazole-susceptible strains were treated with fluconazole (64  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) for 24 h at 35°C (26–28). Amphotericin B (Sigma Chemicals) was used as a cell death control, as its toxic effects induce apoptotic cell death in yeasts, characterized by the occurrence of nuclear chromatin condensation and fragmentation and the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (29, 30). All experiments were performed in triplicate in three independent experiments.

**Preparation of yeast cell suspensions.** Yeast cell suspensions were prepared from cultures in exponential growth phase. The cells were harvested, centrifuged (1,600  $\times g$  for 10 min at 4°C), washed twice with a 0.85% saline solution (1,200  $\times g$  for 5 min at 4°C), and then resuspended ( $\sim 10^6$  cells/ml) in HEPES buffer from Sigma Chemicals supplemented with 2% glucose at pH 7.2 (22, 28).

**Determination of cell density and membrane integrity.** The cell density and membrane integrity of the fungal strains were evaluated by the exclusion of 2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  propidium iodide (PI). Aliquots removed after 24 h of incubation with drugs were analyzed using flow cytometry. Ten thousand events were evaluated per experiment ( $n = 3$ ), and cell debris was omitted from the analysis. Cell fluorescence was determined using flow cytometry in a Guava EasyCyte minisystem cytometer (Guava Technologies, Inc., Hayward, CA) with CytoSoft (version 4.1) software (22, 31, 32).

**Detection of ROS in yeast.** For the detection of ROS produced over a 24-h culture period, cells were incubated with 20  $\mu\text{M}$  5-(and-6)-chloromethyl-2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate acetyl ester (CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCFDA) for 30 min in the dark at 35°C. Subsequently, the cells were harvested, washed, resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and immediately analyzed by flow cytometry (Guava EasyCyte minisystem; Guava Technologies, Inc., Hayward, CA). CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCFDA readily diffuses through the cell membrane, and it is hydrolyzed by intracellular esterases to form nonfluorescent dichlorofluorescein (DCFH), which is then rapidly oxidized to highly fluorescent 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) by any one of a broad range of intracellular oxidative stressors, aside from H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (33). The fluorescence intensity of the DCF formed is proportional to the amount of ROS formed intracellularly (34).

**Measurement of  $\Delta\psi_m$ .** The mitochondrial transmembrane potential ( $\Delta\psi_m$ ) was determined by measurement of the retention of rhodamine-123 dye by fungal strains after 24 h of exposure. Cells were washed with PBS, incubated with rhodamine-123 (1  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) at 37°C for 30 min in the absence of light, and washed twice with PBS. Fluorescence was then measured using flow cytometry (Guava EasyCyte minisystem). Ten thousand events were evaluated per experiment ( $n = 3$ ), and cell debris was omitted from the analysis (22, 35).

**Yeast comet assay.** The alkaline comet assay was performed essentially as described by Miloshev et al. (2002) (36). Up to 200  $\mu\text{l}$  of 0.5% agarose (normal melting point) was spread onto slides, and this supportive agarose layer was air dried before the application of cell suspensions onto the slides. Yeast cells were collected by centrifugation in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge for 5 min, washed with water, and resuspended in S buffer (1 M sorbitol, 25 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 6.5). Aliquots of approximately  $5 \times 10^4$  cells/ml were mixed with 0.7% low-melting-point agarose containing 2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  Zymolyase 20T (Seikagaku Corp.), and the mixture was spread over the slides, covered with coverslips, and incubated for 20 min at 30°C to disintegrate the yeast cell walls and obtain spheroplasts. To minimize the activity of endogenous cellular enzymes, all further procedures were conducted in a cold room at 8 to 10°C. The coverslips were removed, and the slides were incubated in 30 mM NaOH, 1 M NaCl, 0.1% laurylsarcosine, 50 mM EDTA, pH 12.3, for 1 h to lyse the spheroplasts. The slides were rinsed three times for 20 min each time in 30 mM NaOH, 10 mM EDTA, pH 12.4, to unwind the DNA and then subjected to electrophoresis in the same buffer. The electrophoresis was carried out for 20 min at 0.5

V/cm and 24 mA. After electrophoresis, the slides were neutralized by submerging the slides in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, for 10 min, followed by consecutive 10-min incubations in 76% and 96% ethanol. Finally, the slides were air dried, stained with ethidium bromide (1  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ), and visualized under a fluorescence microscope (31). All of the steps described above were conducted under dark conditions to prevent additional DNA damage. Images of 100 randomly selected cells (50 cells from each of the two replicate slides) were analyzed for each experimental group. The cells were scored visually and assigned to one of five classes, according to the tail length (from undamaged [score, 0] to maximally damaged [score, 4]), and a damage index value was calculated for each sample of cells. The damage index ranged from 0 (completely undamaged, 100 cells  $\times$  0) to 400 (maximum damage, 100 cells  $\times$  4) (37). The frequency of tailed cells, a DNA damage frequency indicator, was calculated on the basis of the number of cells with tails (indicating DNA strand breaks) versus the number of cells with no tails (22).

**Annexin V staining.** Treated and untreated *C. tropicalis* cells were harvested by centrifugation and digested with 2 mg/ml Zymolyase 20T (Seikagaku Corp., Japan) in potassium phosphate buffer (PPB; 1 M sorbitol, pH 6.0) for 2 h at 30°C. Protoplasts of *C. tropicalis* were stained with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled annexin V and PI using an FITC-annexin V apoptosis detection kit (Guava Nexin kit; Guava Technologies, Inc., Hayward, CA). Subsequently, cells were washed with PPB and incubated in annexin binding buffer containing 5  $\mu\text{l/ml}$  FITC-annexin V and 5  $\mu\text{l}$  of PI for 20 min. The cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry (Guava EasyCyte minisystem). For each experiment ( $n = 3$ ), 10,000 events were evaluated, and cell debris was omitted from the analysis (38).

**Leukocyte isolation and cultures.** Blood was collected from healthy, nonsmoker donors who had not taken any drugs for at least 15 days prior to sampling and placed in heparin, and leukocytes were isolated using density gradient centrifugation over Histopaque-1077. The protocols applied for isolation of leukocytes from whole blood were approved by the Ethics Committee in Research of the Federal University of Ceara (47/2013). Cells were washed and resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at 37°C under a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Phytohemagglutinin (2%; Cultilab, Campinas, SP, Brazil) was added at the start of the cultures. After 24 h, cells were treated with the test compounds, as reported by Cavalcanti and coworkers (39).

**Flavonoid toxicity to leukocytes.** The cytotoxicity of the tested compounds to leukocytes was evaluated by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO) (40). Briefly, cells were plated in 96-well plates ( $1.5 \times 10^6$  cells/ml). Compounds were dissolved in 1% DMSO (Sigma Chemical) at 0.19 to 100  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ , and the resulting solutions were added to wells. After 72 h of exposure, the supernatant was replaced by fresh medium containing MTT (0.5 mg/ml). After 3 h, the MTT formazan product was dissolved in DMSO and the absorbance was measured at 595 nm (Beckman Coulter DTX-880 spectrometer) (39).

**Statistical analysis.** The experiments measuring susceptibility, the *in vitro* profiles of synergism, and expression were repeated at least three times on different days. Arithmetic means and standard deviations were used to statistically analyze continuous variables (FICI). The geometric means were used to compare the MIC<sub>50</sub> results statistically. The data obtained by flow cytometry were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Newman-Keuls test ( $P < 0.05$ ). The data obtained by the MTT assay are presented as means  $\pm$  standard errors of the means. Fifty percent inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) values and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were obtained by nonlinear regression using the GraphPad program (Intuitive Software for Science, San Diego, CA).

TABLE 1 Synergistic effect of fluconazole with CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG against strains of *Candida tropicalis* resistant to fluconazole

<i>C. tropicalis</i> strain <sup>a</sup>	MIC <sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) <sup>b</sup>					FLC-FLAV interaction			
	Standard <sup>c</sup>		Combination <sup>d</sup>			FICI			Interpretation <sup>e</sup>
	FLC	CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG	CATEQ + FLC 16	QUERC + FLC 16	EPIG + FLC 16 <sup>e</sup>	CATEQ + FLC	FICI QUERC + FLC	FICI EPIG + FLC	
1	64	>128	16	0.50	0.50	0.38	0.25	0.25	SYN
2	64	>128	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	SYN
3	64	>128	<0.25	<0.25	1.0	0.25	0.25	0.26	SYN
4	64	>128	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.25	0.25	0.25	SYN
5	64	>128	0.25	0.25	<0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	SYN
6	64	>128	0.25	<0.25	<0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	SYN

<sup>a</sup> Yeasts isolated from biological samples.

<sup>b</sup> FLC, fluconazole. The MIC<sub>50</sub> value was defined as the lowest concentration that produced a 50% reduction in the growth of fungal cells after a 24-h incubation.

<sup>c</sup> The procedure was performed according to the CLSI M27-A3 2008 protocol (23). Fluconazole concentrations ranged from 64 to 0.125 mg/liter, and flavonoid concentrations varied from 128 to 0.25 mg/liter.

<sup>d</sup> The concentration of fluconazole was fixed at 16 mg/liter (FLC 16), and the flavonoid concentrations varied from 128 to 0.25 mg/liter. The synergistic effect of fluconazole and flavonoids was calculated on the basis of the FICI, which is equal to ([FLC]/[CFS]) + ([FLAC]/[CFlaS]), where [FLC] and [FLAC] are the concentrations of fluconazole and flavonoids that showed activity when combined, respectively, and [CFS] and [CFlaS] are the concentrations of these drugs with activities in isolation, respectively.

<sup>e</sup> Interpretation was performed according to the value of FICI. An FICI of <0.5 is synergism (SYN), 0.5 < FICI ≤ 4.0 is indifference (IND), and an FICI of >4.0 is antagonism (ANT).

## RESULTS

**Synergistic effect of flavonoids and fluconazole.** The fluconazole susceptibility profiles of the *C. tropicalis* strains were assessed using the microdilution technique previously described (23). Table 1 shows that there was no variation in the susceptibility of the different strains tested with fluconazole. All strains studied showed MIC<sub>50</sub> values of 64 μg/ml. The synergism between flavonoids and fluconazole was determined using the checkerboard technique, in which the association of flavonoids with fluconazole showed a synergistic effect on fluconazole-resistant strains and exhibited FICIs ranging from 0.25 to 0.38 μg/ml (a synergistic effect is an FICI of <0.5).

**Cell treatments.** When the fluconazole-resistant strains were exposed to various concentrations (0.25 to 128 μg/ml) of flavonoids combined with various concentrations (0.25 to 16 μg/ml) of fluconazole for 24 h at 35°C, the best synergistic effect was achieved with 128 μg/ml of flavonoids combined with 16 μg/ml of fluconazole.

**Loss of cell viability after cotreatment with flavonoids and fluconazole in *C. tropicalis*.** As shown in Fig. 2, the exposure of fluconazole-resistant strains to fluconazole did not cause a reduction in the number of viable cells compared to that for the control. However, cells treated with fluconazole in combination with flavonoids after 24 h of exposure showed a significant decrease ( $P < 0.05$ ) in cell density: 18.47% ± 27.71% for catechin hydrate, 23.95% ± 3.32% for quercetin hydrate, and 8.33% ± 11.28% for epigallocatechin gallate compared to that for the control group.

**Changes in cell size/granularity by synergism of flavonoids and fluconazole.** Flow cytometry analysis (side scatter [SSC]-forward light scatter [FSC]) showed that resistant strains treated with fluconazole underwent cell shrinkage and nuclear condensation, as evidenced by the decrease in forward light scattering and a transient increase in side scattering, respectively. Interestingly, in all fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis* strains evaluated, changes in cell size/granularity were observed only after 24 h of exposure to fluconazole (16 μg/ml) in combination with flavonoids (Fig. 3).

***C. tropicalis* plasma membrane damage.** Figure 4 shows the

membrane integrity damage induced by the synergistic action of fluconazole plus (+)-catechin hydrate, quercetin hydrate, or (–)-epigallocatechin gallate in strains of *C. tropicalis* resistant to fluconazole. Treatment with fluconazole plus flavonoids (24 h of exposure) resulted in damage to the plasma membrane. Yeast cells treated with fluconazole in combination with the tested flavonoids for 24 h showed a significant increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the population with membrane damage compared to the population with membrane damage in the control group (1.7% ± 0.58%): 39.43% ± 2.41% for catechin hydrate, 19.52% ± 1.27% for quercetin hydrate, and 13.61% ± 1.45% for epigallocatechin gallate.

**Increased intracellular ROS generation induced by cotreatment with flavonoids and fluconazole in *C. tropicalis*.** In *C. tropicalis* resistant to fluconazole, increases ( $P < 0.05$ ) in ROS levels were observed only in cultures coexposed to fluconazole (16 μg/ml) and the tested flavonoids (128 μg/ml) (Fig. 5).




FIG 2 Effects of the different treatments on the viability of fluconazole (FLC)-resistant cells of *C. tropicalis* evaluated by flow cytometry after 24 h. Cells were treated with RPMI (negative control), amphotericin B (Ampho; 4 μg/ml; positive control), CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128 μg/ml), fluconazole (64 μg/ml), and a fixed concentration of CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128 μg/ml) with fluconazole (16 μg/ml). The data are presented as mean values ± SEMs from experiments performed in triplicate.




FIG 3 Analysis of changes in cell size/granularity (forward scatter-side scatter) in the presence of RPMI (negative control), amphotericin B (4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ; positive control), fluconazole (64  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ), CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ), and a fixed concentration of CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) with fluconazole (16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) in isolated fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis* for a period of 24 h.

**Phosphatidylserine externalization in *C. tropicalis*.** In Fig. 6, the population of cells in the lower right and upper right quadrants corresponds to early apoptotic cells (annexin V positive, 7-aminoactinomycin D [7AAD] negative) and late apoptotic cells (annexin V positive, 7AAD positive), respectively, with phosphatidylserine being externalized. After 24 h of exposure, the percentage of cells with externalized phosphatidylserine (the sums of early and late apoptotic stages) after a single treatment with the flavonoids (+)-catechin hydrate, quercetin hydrate, and (–)-epigallocatechin gallate and cultures treated only with fluconazole were very close to that for the negative-control cultures (0.14%  $\pm$  0.01%): 4.5%  $\pm$  1.2%, 4.5%  $\pm$  2.40%, 4%  $\pm$  2.42%, and 1.16%  $\pm$

1.2%, respectively. After 24 h of incubation, yeast cultures cotreated with fluconazole and the tested flavonoids, (+)-catechin hydrate, quercetin hydrate, and (–)-epigallocatechin gallate, showed a significant increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the apoptotic cell percentages compared to the percentage for the control group (0.14%  $\pm$  0.01%): 36.5%  $\pm$  8.22%, 46.5%  $\pm$  18.8%, and 53%  $\pm$  10.9%, respectively. The association between fluconazole and the tested flavonoids clearly induced cell death at a level similar to that for amphotericin B, which was used as a positive control.

**Cotreatment with flavonoids and fluconazole induces mitochondrial dysfunction.** The strains of *C. tropicalis* cotreated with fluconazole (16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) and flavonoids (128  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) showed mi-




FIG 4 Effect of the different treatments of fluconazole for a period of 24 h on membrane integrity (determined by a PI exclusion test) in isolated fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis*. Cells were treated with RPMI (negative control), amphotericin B (4  $\mu$ g/ml; positive control), fluconazole (64  $\mu$ g/ml), CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128  $\mu$ g/ml) at a fixed concentration, and a fixed concentration of CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128  $\mu$ g/ml) with fluconazole (16  $\mu$ g/ml) \*,  $P < 0.05$  compared to the control by ANOVA followed by the Newman-Keuls test.

tochondrial dysfunction, characterized by reduction of the mitochondrial transmembrane potential ( $\Delta\psi_m$ ) after 24 h of exposure (Fig. 7).

**DNA damage induced by cotreatment with flavonoids and fluconazole or single treatments in *C. tropicalis*.** Figure 8 shows the DNA damage induced by the synergistic action of fluconazole plus (+)-catechin hydrate, quercetin hydrate, or (–)-epigallocatechin gallate on strains of *C. tropicalis* resistant to fluconazole. The individual analysis of single cells regarding the distribution of grades of DNA damage (Fig. 8A) showed that the flavonoids induced low levels of DNA damage (mainly grade 1). Moreover,

corroborating that, cultures treated with single flavonoids alone did not suffer DNA damage, as evidenced by the means of the damage index and frequency (Fig. 8B and C). In contrast, *C. tropicalis* coexposure to fluconazole and the tested flavonoids for 24 h resulted in a significant increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) in DNA strand break levels (Fig. 8A to C). Cells treated with fluconazole in combination with the flavonoid (+)-catechin hydrate, quercetin hydrate, or (–)-epigallocatechin gallate for 24 h exhibited damage index values (arbitrary units) of  $64.38 \pm 2.15$ ,  $74.62 \pm 3.15$ , and  $61.48 \pm 2.56$ , respectively, and damage frequencies of  $36.95\% \pm 3.17\%$ ,  $31.83\% \pm 0.21\%$ , and  $29.42\% \pm 0.10\%$ , respectively. Amphotericin




FIG 5 Percentages of DCF fluorescence-positive cells (indicating ROS production) in isolated fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis* after treatment with RPMI (negative control), amphotericin B (4 µg/ml; positive control), fluconazole (64 µg/ml); CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128 µg/ml) at a fixed concentration, and a fixed concentration of CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128 µg/ml) with fluconazole (16 µg/ml) \*,  $P < 0.05$  compared to the control by ANOVA followed by the Newman-Keuls test.

icin B, used as a positive control, induced high levels of DNA strand breaks.

**Cytotoxic activity of flavonoids in leukocytes.** Table 2 shows that the flavonoids quercetin and epigallocatechin gallate hydrate showed moderate cytotoxicity against human leukocytes, as analyzed by the MTT assay, compared with the results for the control group ( $P < 0.05$ ). However, catechin hydrate showed no cytotoxicity when treated alone or in combination with fluconazole when cytotoxicity was compared to that for the control.

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings suggest a potential synergistic effect of the combination of fluconazole with the tested flavonoids. Hirasawa and Takada (41) observed that various catechins present in green tea have significant antifungal activities when combined with antifungal drugs. Several recent studies have shown that epigallocatechin has antifungal activity against dermatophytes and yeasts as a single treatment or combined with other antifungal agents and can be applied as an alternative antifungal agent for fungal species





FIG 6 Phosphatidylserine externalization, indicating early-stage apoptosis, shown by annexin V staining. The intensity of the fluorescence indicates the amount of exposed phosphatidylserine on cells treated with fluconazole (64 µg/ml), CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128 µg/ml), amphotericin B (4 µg/ml; positive control), and a fixed concentration of CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128 µg/ml) with fluconazole (16 µg/ml) for 24 h. \*,  $P < 0.05$  compared to the control by ANOVA followed by the Newman-Keuls test. PE, phycoerythrin.



**FIG 7** Histograms obtained by flow cytometry analysis of green fluorescence (GRN-XLog) of fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis*. The fluorescence of the cells shows the effects of different treatments on the mitochondrial transmembrane potential in strains exposed for 24 h to RPMI (negative control), amphotericin B (4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ; positive control), fluconazole (64  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ), CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) at a fixed concentration, and a fixed concentration of CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) with fluconazole (16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ).

resistant to traditional drugs (15, 19, 42). In our study, (–)-epigallocatechin gallate alone showed no antifungal activity, but when combined with fluconazole, it showed a synergistic effect against strains of *C. tropicalis* resistant to fluconazole. These findings corroborate those reported by Hirasawa and Takada (41), whose strains of *C. albicans* resistant to fluconazole demonstrated sensitivity to the combination of epigallocatechin gallate with fluconazole, suggesting that this combination might be useful in treating superinfections (43). Several antimicrobial effects have been observed for quercetin (44, 45). However, little is known about the antifungal activity of this flavonoid. The present data show that quercetin has no activity when used as a single treatment, but when combined with fluconazole, quercetin demonstrated a potent synergistic effect against strains of *C. tropicalis* resistant to fluconazole.

The molecular basis of the fluconazole resistance of the *C. tropicalis* strains investigated in the present study is currently unknown. However, on the basis of what is known for other characterized strains, we can speculate that it may involve the overexpression of efflux pumps encoded by either *MDR* or *CDR* genes or the acquisition of point mutations in the gene encoding ERG11 (46).

When cells were exposed to fluconazole combined with the flavonoids (+)-catechin hydrate, quercetin hydrate, and (–)-epi-

**TABLE 2** Cytotoxic activity of flavonoids on leukocytes<sup>a</sup>


Compound	Leukocyte IC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu\text{g/ml}^{-1}$ )	
	Without FLC	With FLC
QUER	17.45 (14.34–21.24)	14.97 (13.06–17.17)
EPIG	41.98 (38.57–51.68)	40.42 (34.90–43.06)
CATEQ	>100	>100
FLC	>100	>100

<sup>a</sup> Fluconazole (FLC) was used as a positive control. Data are presented as IC<sub>50</sub> values and 95% CIs (in parentheses) from three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

gallocatechin gallate in the presence of a PI, a major portion of the cells became PI positive in comparison to the results for the control cultures. The increased PI uptake in the cells of fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis* treated with flavonoids and fluconazole demonstrates that these combinations can change the cell membrane structure, resulting in the loss of plasma membrane integrity in fungal cells and causing increased permeability. A study by Toyoshima et al. (47) described a similar mechanism of action of catechin against isolates of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* through electron microscopy and suggested that catechin may act by lysing the cell membrane (41). This may explain the fact that cells treated with fluconazole combined with catechin showed greater damage to the membrane.

Fungal cells treated with the proposed synergistic combination showed increased levels of ROS, leading to the generation of hydroxyl radicals. Although ROS act as signal transducers, when accumulated in cells in the form of hydrogen peroxide, superoxide, and hydroxyl radicals, ROS are considered essential regulators of aging and have been reported to be a key element in the apoptosis of yeast (48–50). The synergistic interactions observed in this study indicate a probable prooxidant activity of flavonoids, which may be responsible for the induction of transcription factors associated with apoptosis and related to increased levels of proapoptotic proteins, mitochondrial injury, and ROS generation, as well as accelerated oxidative damage to DNA, proteins, and carbohydrates *in vitro* (51–53).

The flavonoids (+)-catechin hydrate, quercetin hydrate, and (–)-epigallocatechin gallate, when combined with fluconazole, promoted changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential. Tests verified mitochondrial dysfunction in the treated cells, sug-



**FIG 8** Effects of different treatments on the distribution of damage classes (grades [G] 0 to 4) of DNA caused by fluconazole after 24 h of exposure. The yeasts were exposed to RPMI (negative control), fluconazole (64  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ), CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ), amphotericin B (4  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ; positive control), and a fixed concentration of CATEQ, QUERC, and EPIG (128  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) with fluconazole (16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) for 24 h. \*,  $P < 0.05$  compared to the control by ANOVA followed by the Newman-Keuls test.

gesting that the synergistic combinations affect the mitochondrial respiratory function, preventing rhodamine-123 from accumulating in the mitochondria (35). Such a  $\Delta\psi_m$  collapse can lead to transient pore openings in the mitochondrial membrane and the release of proapoptotic factors into the cytosol (50, 54). This fact can be explained by the ability of flavonoids to exhibit a prooxidant activity (52, 53) that favors increased intracellular levels of hydroxyl radicals that lead to mitochondrial membrane damage. Hwang et al. (55) showed that the flavonoid amentoflavone promotes mitochondrial dysfunction in *C. albicans* strains due to increased levels of ROS. Therefore, the flavonoids used synergistically with fluconazole in the present study seemed to indirectly promote the mitochondrial dysfunction as a result of increased levels of ROS. The increased intracellular ROS levels and mitochondrial dysfunction play an important role in apoptosis induction (48, 49, 56).

The treatment of *C. tropicalis* strains in this study with fluconazole plus flavonoids promoted DNA damage. However, the combination of fluconazole with quercetin hydrate showed greater damage to the DNA than the other combinations. This is clearly because quercetin, which exhibits two aromatic rings in its structure, can penetrate the phospholipid membranes (43, 57, 58) due to the hydrophobic nature of the molecule. However, with treatment with the combination of fluconazole and epigallocatechin gallate, a greater number of cells showed some type of DNA damage, regardless of the degree of injury. Condensation and extensive DNA fragmentation are features that often occur in the early stages of apoptosis, representing an irreversible step that leads to cell death (50, 59, 60).

The detection of apoptosis at an early stage can be determined using annexin V as a marker. In the presence of  $Ca^{2+}$ , annexin binds with a high affinity to the phosphatidylserine present in the membranes of apoptotic cells (50). Our experimental evidence indicates that the combination of flavonoids with fluconazole induces apoptotic cell death in *C. tropicalis*, in which the generation and intracellular accumulation of reactive oxygen species seem to act as stimulators of early apoptosis signaling, in addition to directly damaging the mitochondria and the nuclear DNA. These data corroborate the results of Hwang et al. (50) and Cho and Lee (49), who found similar characteristics of cell death in yeasts treated with antimicrobial peptides.

The synergistic effect of fluconazole with flavonoids promotes exposure of the phosphatidylserine in the plasma membrane, changes in cell size/granularity, mitochondrial membrane depolarization, intracellular ROS accumulation, and DNA fragmentation in fluconazole-resistant strains of *C. tropicalis*. Based on the characteristics of cell death observed, we hypothesize that the proposed synergism exerts its antifungal activity via increased intracellular ROS, resulting in apoptosis.

The use of (+)-catechin hydrate alone or in association with fluconazole did not cause any cytotoxic effects on cultured peripheral human leukocytes ( $IC_{50} > 100$ ). Corroborating our findings, Babich et al. (61) reported that catechin exhibited a lower toxicity than other compounds derived from catechin against HSC-2 carcinoma cells and HGF-2 fibroblasts. Although additional tests, such as reproductive toxicity analysis and mutagenesis evaluation, must be performed, the present results show that (+)-catechin hydrate plus fluconazole is probably safe for use for treatment of acute infections *in vivo*. In summary, the results suggest that the flavonoid (+)-catechin hydrate has potential as an adjuvant agent

in combination with antifungals for the treatment of candidemias, although a study with a higher number of strains would be required to establish this conclusion.

In conclusion, combinations of the flavonoids (+)-catechin hydrate, quercetin hydrate, or (–)-epigallocatechin gallate with fluconazole demonstrated antifungal activity against strains of fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis in vitro*. Despite changing the plasma and mitochondrial membrane integrity, the synergism also seemed to interact with the DNA, leading to death by apoptosis, possibly due to the intracellular accumulation of ROS. The flavonoid catechin hydrate showed no toxicity toward the leukocytes.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants and fellowships from the National Council of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq), Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Level or Education Personnel (CAPES/Brazil), and the Foundation of Ceara Support for Scientific and Technology (FUNCAP/Ceara).

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest concerning this article.

## REFERENCES

1. Hitoto H, Pihet M, Weil B, Chabasse D, Jean-Philippe B, Rachieru-Sourisseau P. 2010. *Acremonium strictum* fungaemia in a paediatric immunocompromised patient: diagnosis and treatment difficulties. *Mycopathologia* 170:161–164. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11046-010-9306-5>.
2. Araujo MF, Vieira JJC, Braz-Filho R, Vieira-da-Motta O, Mathias L. 2009. Chemical constituents from *Swartzia apetala* Raddi var. *glabra* and evaluation of their antifungal activity against *Candida* spp. *Braz. J. Pharmacogn.* 19: 366–369. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-695X2009000300005>.
3. Lyon GM, Karatela S, Sunay S, Adiri Y. 2010. Antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida* isolates from the *Candida* surveillance study. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48:1270–1275. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02363-09>.
4. Diekema D, Arbefeville S, Boyken L, Kroeger J, Pfaller M. 2012. The changing epidemiology of healthcare-associated candidemia over three decades. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 73:45–48. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.02.001>.
5. Nucci M, Colombo AL. 2007. Candidemia due to *Candida tropicalis*: clinical, epidemiologic, and microbiologic characteristics of 188 episodes occurring in tertiary care hospitals. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 58:77–82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2006.11.009>.
6. Kothavade RJ, Kura MM, Valand AG, Panthaki MH. 2010. *C. tropicalis*: its prevalence, pathogenicity and increasing resistance to fluconazole. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 59:873–880. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.013227-0>.
7. Tobudic S, Kratzer C, Presterl E. 2012. Azole-resistant *Candida* spp.—emerging pathogens? *Mycoses* 55:24–32. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2011.02146.x>.
8. Chi HW, Yang YS, Shang ST, Chen KH, Yehb KM, Changb FY, Lin JC. 2011. *Candida albicans* versus non-*albicans* bloodstream infections: the comparison of risk factors and outcome. *J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect.* 44:369–375. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2010.08.010>.
9. Gonzalez GM, Elizondo M, Ayala J. 2008. Trends in species distribution and susceptibility of bloodstream isolates of *Candida* collected in Monterrey, Mexico, to seven antifungal agents: results of a 3-year (2004 to 2007) surveillance study. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 46:2902–2905. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00937-08>.
10. Simões M, Lemos M, Simões LC. 2012. Phytochemicals against drug-resistant microbes, p 185–205. *In* Patra AK (ed), *Dietary phytochemicals and microbes*. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-3926-0\\_6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-3926-0_6).
11. Maurya IK, Pathak S, Sharma M, Sanwal H, Chaudhary P, Tupec S, Deshpande M, Singh Chauhan V, Prasada R. 2011. Antifungal activity of novel synthetic peptides by accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and disruption of cell wall against *Candida albicans*. *Peptides* 32: 1732–1740. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2011.06.003>.
12. Rajeshkumar R, Sundararaman M. 2011. Emergence of *Candida* spp. and exploration of natural bioactive molecules for anticandidal therapy—status quo. *Mycoses* 55:60–73. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2011.02156.x>.

13. Saini ML, Saini R, Roy S, Kumar A. 2008. Comparative pharmacognostical and antimicrobial studies of *Acacia* species (*Mimosaceae*). *J. Med. Plants Res.* 12:378–386.
14. Duraipandiyan V, Ayyanar M, Ignacimuthu S. 2006. Antimicrobial activity of some ethnomedicinal plants used by Paliyar tribe from Tamil Nadu, India. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 6:35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-6-35>.
15. Park BJ, Park JC, Taguchi H, Fukushimae K, Hyonf SH, Takatori K. 2011. *In vitro* antifungal activity of epigallocatechin 3-O-gallate against clinical isolates of dermatophytes. *Yonsei Med. J.* 52:535–538. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2011.52.3.535>.
16. Cavaleiro C, Pinto E, Gonçalves MJ, Salgueiro L. 2006. Antifungal activity of Juniperus essential oils against dermatophyte, *Aspergillus* and *Candida* strains. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 100:1333–1338. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.02862.x>.
17. Pyun MS, Shin S. 2006. Antifungal effects of the volatile oils from *Allium* plants against *Trichophyton* species and synergism of the oils with ketoconazole. *Phytomedicine* 13:394–400. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2005.03.011>.
18. Mondello F, De Bernardis F, Girolamo A, Cassone A, Salvatore G. 2006. *In vivo* activity of terpinen-4-ol, the main bioactive component of *Melaleuca alternifolia* Cheel (tea tree) oil against azole-susceptible and -resistant human pathogenic *Candida* species. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 6:158. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-6-158>.
19. Han Y. 2007. Synergic anticandidal effect of epigallocatechin-O-gallate combined with amphotericin B in a murine model of disseminated candidiasis and its anticandidal mechanism. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 30:1693–1696. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1248/bpb.30.1693>.
20. Liu CM, Zheng YL, Lu J, Lua J, Zhanga ZF, Fana SH, Wua DM, Mab JQ. 2010. Quercetin protects rat liver against lead-induced oxidative stress and apoptosis. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 29:158–166. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2009.12.006>.
21. Gordon CN, Wareham WD. 2010. Antimicrobial activity of the green tea polyphenol (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) against clinical isolates of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 36:129–131. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.03.025>.
22. da Silva CR, de Andrade Neto JB, Sidrim JJC, Angelo MRF, Magalhães HIF, Cavalcanti BC, Brilhante RSN, Macedo DS, Moraes MO, Lobo MDP, Grangeiro TB, Nobre Júnior HV. 2013. Synergistic effects of amiodarone and fluconazole on *Candida tropicalis* resistant to fluconazole. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 57:1691–1700. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00966-12>.
23. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts. Approved standard M27-A3, 3rd ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
24. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2012. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts. Fourth informational supplement. M27-S4. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
25. Endo EH. 2007. Synergistic effect of the crude extract and fractions of *Punica granatum* against *Candida albicans* and synergy with fluconazole. Dissertation. State University of Maringá, Maringá, Brazil.
26. Rudensky B, Broide E, Berko N, Wiener-Well Y, Yinnon AMM, Raveh D. 2008. Direct fluconazole susceptibility testing of positive *Candida* blood cultures by flow cytometry. *Mycoses* 51:200–204. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2007.01466.x>.
27. Pina-Vaz C, Rodrigues AG, Costa-de-Oliveira S, Ricardo E, Mardh PA. 2005. Potent synergic effect between ibuprofen and azoles on *Candida* resulting from blockade of efflux pumps as determined by FUN-1 staining and flow cytometry. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 56:678–685. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki264>.
28. Pina-Vaz C, Rodrigues AG. 2010. Evaluation of antifungal susceptibility using flow cytometry molecular and cell biology methods for fungi. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 638:281–289. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761-611-5\\_21](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761-611-5_21).
29. Phillips AJ, Sudbery I, Ramsdale M. 2003. Apoptosis induced by environmental stresses and amphotericin B in *Candida albicans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100:14327–14332. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2332326100>.
30. Almeida B, Silva A, Mesquita A, Sampaio-Marques B, Rodrigues F, Ludovico P. 2008. Drug-induced apoptosis in yeast. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1783:1436–1448. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2008.01.005>.
31. Pinkerton DM, Banwell MG, Garson MJ, Kumar N, de Moraes MO, Cavalcanti BC, Barros FWA, Pessoa C. 2010. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of synthetically derived tambjamines C and E-J, BE-18591, and a related alkaloid from the marine bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas tunnicate*. *Chem. Biodivers.* 7:1311–1324. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201000030>.
32. Joung YH, Kim HR, Lee MK, Park AJ. 2007. Fluconazole susceptibility testing of *Candida* species by flow cytometry. *J. Infect.* 54:504–508. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2006.09.016>.
33. Hempel SL, Buettner GR, O'Malley YQ, Wessels DA, Flaherty DM. 1999. Dihydrofluorescein diacetate is superior for detecting intracellular oxidants: comparison with 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate, 5-(and 6)-carboxy-2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate, and dihydrorhodamine 123. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 27:146–159. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849\(99\)00061-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(99)00061-1).
34. LeBel CP, Ischiropoulos H, Bondy SC. 1992. Evaluation of the probe 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein as an indicator of reactive oxygen species formation and oxidative stress. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 5:227–231. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/tx00026a012>.
35. Ludovico P, Sansonetti F, Côte-Real M. 2001. Assessment of mitochondrial membrane potential in yeast cell populations by flow cytometry. *Microbiology* 147:3335–3343.
36. Miloshev G, Mihaylov I, Anachkova B. 2002. Application of the single cell electrophoresis on yeast cells. *Mutat. Res.* 513:69–74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718\(01\)00286-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(01)00286-8).
37. Collins AR. 2004. The comet assay for DNA damage and repair: principles, applications, and limitations. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 26:249–261. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1385/MB:26:3:249>.
38. Cavalcanti BC, Costa PM, Carvalho AA, Rodrigues FAR, Amorim RCN, Silva ECC, Pohlit AM, Costa-Lotufo LV, Moraes MO, Pessoa C. 2012. Involvement of intrinsic mitochondrial pathway in neosergeolide-induced apoptosis of human HL-60 leukemia cells: the role of mitochondrial permeability transition pore and DNA damage. *Pharm. Biol.* 50:980–993. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2012.654921>.
39. Cavalcanti BC, Bezerra DP, Magalhães HI, Moraes MO, Lima MA, Silveira ER, Câmara CA, Rao VS, Pessoa C, Costa-Lotufo LV. 2009. Kauren-19-oic acid induces DNA damage followed by apoptosis in human leukemia cells. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 29:560–568. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jat.1439>.
40. Mosmann T. 1983. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J. Immunol. Methods* 65:55–63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759\(83\)90303-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(83)90303-4).
41. Hirasawa M, Takada K. 2004. Multiple effects of green tea catechin on the antifungal activity of antimycotics against *Candida albicans*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 53:225–229. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh046>.
42. Park BJ, Taguchi H, Kamei K, Matsuzawa T, Hyon SH, Park JC. 2006. Antifungal susceptibility of epigallocatechin 3-O-gallate (ECG) on clinical isolates of pathogenic yeast. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 347:401–405. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.06.037>.
43. Daglia M. 2012. Polyphenols as antimicrobial agents. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 23:174–181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.08.007>.
44. Ramadan MF, Asker MM. 2009. Antimicrobial and antiviral impact of novel quercetin-enriched lecithin. *J. Food Biochem.* 33:557–571. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4514.2009.00237.x>.
45. Rodriguez-Vaquero MJ, Alberto MR, Manc-de-Nadra MC. 2007. Antibacterial effect of phenolic compounds from different wines. *Food Control* 18:93–101. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2005.08.010>.
46. Pfaller MA. 2012. Antifungal drug resistance: mechanisms, epidemiology, and consequences for treatment. *Am. J. Med.* 125:S3–S13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2011.11.001>.
47. Toyoshima Y, Okubo S, Toda M. 1994. Effect of catechin on the ultrastructure of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*. *Kansenshogaku Zasshi* 68:295–303. (In Japanese.)
48. Simon HU, Haj-Yehia A, Levi-Schaffer F. 2000. Role of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in apoptosis induction. *Apoptosis* 5:415–418. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1009616228304>.
49. Cho J, Lee DG. 2011. The antimicrobial peptide arenicin-1 promotes generation of reactive oxygen species and induction of apoptosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1810:1246–1250. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2011.08.011>.
50. Hwang B, Hwang JS, Lee J, Kim JK, Kim SR, Kim Y, Lee DG. 2011. Induction of yeast apoptosis by an antimicrobial peptide, papiliocin.

- Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 408:89–93. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.03.125>.
51. Lee MH, Han DW, Hyon SH, Park JC. 2011. Apoptosis of human fibrosarcoma HT-1080 cells by epigallocatechin-3-O-gallate via induction of p53 and caspases as well as suppression of Bcl-2 and phosphorylated nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B. *Apoptosis* 16:75–85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10495-010-0548-y>.
  52. Yin ST, Tang ML, Deng HM, Xing TR. 2009. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate induced primary cultures of rat hippocampal neurons death linked to calcium overload and oxidative stress. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol.* 379:551–564. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00210-009-0401-4>.
  53. Suh KS, Chon S, Oh S, Kim SW, Kim JW, Kim YS, Woo JT. 2010. Prooxidative effects of green tea polyphenol (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate on the HIT-T15 pancreatic beta cell line. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 26:189–199. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10565-009-9137-7>.
  54. Barroso G, Taylor S, Morshedi M, Manzur F, Gaviño F, Oehninger S. 2006. Mitochondrial membrane potential integrity and plasma membrane translocation of phosphatidylserine as early apoptotic markers: a comparison of two different sperm subpopulations. *Fertil. Steril.* 85:149–154. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2005.06.046>.
  55. Hwang I, Lee J, Jin HG, Woo ER, Lee DG. 2012. Amentoflavone stimulates mitochondrial dysfunction and induces apoptotic cell death in *Candida albicans*. *Mycopathologia* 173:207–218. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11046-011-9503-x>.
  56. Heiskanen KM, Bhat MB, Wang HW, Ma J, Nieminen AL. 1999. Mitochondrial depolarization accompanies cytochrome c release during apoptosis in PC6 cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274:5654–5658. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.9.5654>.
  57. Van-Dijk C, Driessen AJ, Recourt K. 2000. The uncoupling efficiency and affinity of flavonoids for vesicles. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 60:1593–1600. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-2952\(00\)00488-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-2952(00)00488-3).
  58. Alvesalo J, Vuorela H, Tammela P, Leinonen M, Saikku P, Vuorela P. 2006. Inhibitory effect of dietary phenolic compounds on *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in cell cultures. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 71:735–741. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2005.12.006>.
  59. Ribeiro GF, Corte-Real M, Johansson B. 2006. Characterization of DNA damage in yeast apoptosis induced by hydrogen peroxide, acetic acid, and hyperosmotic shock. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 17:4584–4591. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E06-05-0475>.
  60. Salvador VAG. 2009. Evaluation of apoptosis and necrosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during wine fermentations. Dissertation. University of Lisbon Técnica, Lisbon, Portugal.
  61. Babich H, Krupka ME, Nissim HA, Zuckerbraun HL. 2005. Differential *in vitro* cytotoxicity of (–)-epicatechin gallate (ECG) to cancer and normal cells from the human oral cavity. *Toxicol. In Vitro* 19:231–242. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2004.09.001>.